

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/615,501	JACOBSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fiona T. Powers	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Fiona T. Powers.

(2) Dana Gordon.

Date of Interview: 30 July 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

108-110 and 112

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)	_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
-----------------------------------	--

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Gordon to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if the claims were amended as follows: 1)claims 108-110, line 1 of each, delete "cyclic" (second occurrence) and 2)claim 112, line 1, before "cyclic" insert -chiral-. The amendments are necessary so that the terms in the claims have proper antecedent basis in the claims on which they are dependent. It was agreed that claims 108-110 and 112 would be amended as discussed supra by examiner's amendment.